UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Case Number (R-07-70389 (PV7)
	asturo Claime Fonsera Defendant, ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 9/25, 2005
	Defendant was present, represented by his attorney L. Vinnasol. The United States was represented by
	Assistant U.S. Attorney S. Knight
	PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE
	/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
	convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from
	imprisonment, whichever is later.
	This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
	safety of any other person and the community.
	/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
	defendant has committed an offense
	Afor which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
	seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR
	seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.
	This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
	appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
	/X/ No presumption applies.
	PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE
	/ / The defendant has not come forward with any evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
	will be ordered detained.
	/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
	The distance to roote the appheasie presumption(s) to wit:
	Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.
-	PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)
	The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
	will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR
-	/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
	will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
	PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
	The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
	at hearing and finds as follows: The definition is charged with a violation in 8 USC \$ 132
	He is undocumented. The defendant is charged with a violation of 84503/32
	of those involve drugs. He has three violations of proparties and
	a violation of parale including an unwilling to be
	Such rised: He has a history of with land a will and a
	/ / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.
	PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
co	orrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending
ap	opeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court
of	the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver
the	e defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
D-	$\alpha_{12} = \alpha_{12} = \alpha$
ΔT	USA ATTY PTS
710	PATRICIA V TRIMBITI

United States Magistrate Judge